

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/081,103	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SEIDL, GERALD	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher Upton	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Kimberly Brown.

**Date of Interview:** 4 December 2003

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
 1, 11

Prior art documents discussed:  
 Prior art of record.

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 11 to recite that the emergency discharge doors are above the normal water level in the channel, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record.*


**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
 CHRISTOPHER UPTON  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)